

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/550,193	07/10/2006	Gerd Dahms	4266-0110PUS1	7394	
23413 7590 1006/2011 EXAMINER CANTOR COLBURN LLP					
20 Church Stre		SOROUSH, ALI			
22nd Floor Hartford, CT 0	6103	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		1617			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/06/2011	EL ECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptopatentmail@cantorcolburn.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
I	10/550,193	DAHMS ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ALI SOROUSH	1617		

	ALI SOROUSH	1617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 19 September 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
In Exert. File Dissequence 2011. Falls 1 for Public Instance That The Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing     b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE						
	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee						
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF ARPEAL							
Notice of Appeal was filed on, A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below):							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1:		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
	<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the</li> </ul>						
non-allowable claim(s).							
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-</li> </ol>		I be entered and an explanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 16-25 and 31.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
Applicant argues that Vanlerberghe ett al. disclose that same order of addition positively claimed by Applicant's	the ageous phase (A) is added to the in that phase B is added to phase B	ne wax phase (B), which is not the A. Applicant's argument has been fully					
considered but found not to be persuasive. The courts h prima facie obvious in the absence of new or unexpecte Burhans, 154 F.2d 690, 69 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1946); In	d results (Ex Parte Rubin, 128 USF re Gibson, 39 F.2d 975, 5 USPQ 2	Q 440 (BD. App. 1959); In re 30 (CCPA 1930); MPEP 2144.04).					
Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to on	e of ordinary skill in the art at the tir	ne of the instant invention to mix					
<ul> <li>phase B with phase A since both methods result in the formation of an oil-in-water emulsion, absent an unexpected result.</li> <li>12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).</li> </ul>							
13. Other:							

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.	
/A. S./	/KARLHEINZ R SKOWRONEK/	
Examiner, Art Unit 1617	Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1631	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20110929